

United States Patent and Trademark Office

	Λ	
1	4	
,	•	1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,108	1	1/13/2001	Takashi Igarashi	01730/LH	4018	
1933	7590 12/22/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			FRITZ, BR.	FRITZ, BRADFORD F		
220 Fifth Av 16TH Floor	enue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708				2141		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summary	10/014,108	IGARASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradford F. Fritz	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/	13/2001.					
· = · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·=	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2002 is/are:		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been received	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/014,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim recites, "the aforesaid image outputting apparatus are updated". The recitation of "are" is grammatically incorrect and appears to be a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 4. The subject matter of claims 1 and 2, essentially recite "software for plural image outputting apparatuses", which the Examiner finds to be non-statutory because the claimed subject matter is non-statutory as not being tangibly embodied in a manner so as to be executable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/014,108

Art Unit: 2141

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kageyama et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,625,757), hereinafter referred to as Kageyama.
- 7. Regarding claim 1, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein transmission and receipt can be carried out between at least one image outputting apparatus and an administrating apparatus to conduct maintenance administration of the image outputting apparatus (see abstract, column 2, line 64 - column 3, line 5; column 52, lines 15-24 and column 55, lines 11-41), each function and unit which are necessary for operating the image outputting apparatus are controlled on a unification basis by communication between the administrating apparatus and the image outputting apparatus (see abstract; column 16, line 54 – column 17, line 8 and column 20, lines 65-67), the administrating apparatus acquires information of the function and unit of the image outputting apparatus through transmission and receipt with respect to updating of software that conducts control of operations of each function and unit of the image outputting apparatus (see column 52, lines 8-24), and software for plural image outputting apparatuses capable of operating under the appropriate conditions including, at need, the aforesaid image outputting apparatus are updated (see column 52, lines 15-30).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Kageyama disclosed a system in which a monitoring center and an image outputting apparatus having a plurality of units are connected by a network and the image outputting apparatus is administrated by the monitoring center

Application/Control Number: 10/014,108

Art Unit: 2141

(see abstract), wherein the image outputting apparatus has a function to send structure information of plural units to the monitoring center (see column 52, lines 8-24), and the monitoring center has a function to judge compatibility between the image outputting apparatus and the unit (see column 7, lines 11-38), or compatibility among plural units, based on structure information coming from the image outputting apparatus (see column 7, lines 11-38).

Page 4

- 9. Regarding claim 17, Kageyama disclosed a system in which a monitoring center and an image outputting apparatus are connected by a network and the image outputting apparatus is administrated by the monitoring center (see abstract), wherein the image outputting apparatus has a memory with which the image outputting apparatus stores error log information (see column 15, lines 15-22 and column 17, lines 9-24), and the monitoring center judges occurrence of troubles of the image outputting apparatus based on error log information obtained from the image outputting apparatus (see column 7, lines 11-38).
- 10. Regarding claim 2, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein abnormalities of each function and unit necessary for operating the image outputting apparatus are judged based on information of operations of the image outputting apparatus accumulated in the image outputting apparatus (see column 7, lines 11-38 and column 20, lines 40-64), and software for plural image outputting apparatuses capable of operating under the appropriate conditions including the aforesaid image outputting apparatus are updated (see column 52, lines 15-30).

Art Unit: 2141

- 11. Regarding claim 3, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein information obtained from the image outputting apparatus can be accumulated in the storage section of the administrating apparatus (see column 2, lines 41-59 and column 55, lines 11-41).
- 12. Regarding claim 4, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein information based on the aforesaid accumulated information are available from the image outputting apparatus (see column 2, lines 41-59 and column 55, lines 11-41).
- 13. Regarding claim 5, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein each function and each unit of the image outputting apparatus have specific symbols which can be discriminated respectively (see column 61, lines 29-39).
- 14. Regarding claim 7, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the structure information includes a serial number of each unit and a software version installed (see column 26, lines 23-27 and column 50, lines 5-16).
- 15. Regarding claim 8, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus sends the structure information to the monitoring center when the image outputting apparatus is connected to the network (see column 51, line 65 column 52, line 24).
- 16. Regarding claim 9, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus sends the structure information to the monitoring center to meet the request from the monitoring center (see column 23, lines 50-67 and column 29, line 59 line 11).

Art Unit: 2141

17. Regarding claim 10, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus sends the structure information periodically to the monitoring center (see column 47, lines 44-58).

- 18. Regarding claim 11, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus sends the structure information periodically to the monitoring center, as occasion demands (see column 47, lines 44-58 and see column 52, lines 8-25).
- 19. Regarding claim 12, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein when compatibility of software version for the image outputting apparatus or for each unit is not kept, the image outputting apparatus makes the image outputting apparatus or each unit for which the compatibility is not kept to download the software version appropriated for the condition of the image outputting apparatus or each unit (see column 15, lines 42-56 and column 55, line 56 column 56, line 3).
- 20. Regarding claim 13, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein when compatibility of structure for the image outputting apparatus or for each unit is not kept, the monitoring center notifies to the image outputting apparatus or to each unit (see column 7, lines 10-38).
- 21. Regarding claim 14, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the monitoring center notifies to the image outputting apparatus or to each unit and selects appropriated structure to notify it (see column 7, lines 10-38).
- 22. Regarding claim 15, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus has a function to send specific ID information corresponding to software installed in the image outputting apparatus or structure information including preparer

Application/Control Number: 10/014,108

Art Unit: 2141

specifying information and version information to the monitor center (see column 2, lines 41-64 and column 7, lines 10-38 and column 48, lines 46-65), and the monitoring center has a function to judge compatibility of the image outputting apparatus based on structure information coming from the image outputting apparatus (see column 7, lines 10-38).

Page 7

- 23. Regarding claim 16, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus has a function to send specific ID information corresponding to plural units and plural software or structure information including preparer specifying information and version information to the monitor center (see column 2, lines 41-64 and column 7, lines 10-38 and column 48, lines 46-65), and the monitoring center has a function to judge, based on structure information coming from the image outputting apparatus (see column 7, lines 10-38), whether compatibility between each unit and software or compatibility among them is kept or not (see column 15, lines 42-56 and column 55, line 56 column 56, line 3), and unit or software of the image outputting apparatus is switched or an automatic version is changed based on the judgment stated above (see column 15, lines 42-56 and column 55, line 56 column 56, line 3).
- 24. Regarding claim 18, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the monitoring center conducts change (see column 53, lines 28-39), correction or version upgrading for the control software in the image outputting apparatus (see column 55, line 56 column 56, line 3), based on the error log information (see abstract, and column 33, line 42 column 34, line 30 and column 55, line 56 column 56, line 3).

Art Unit: 2141

- 25. Regarding claim 19, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus transmits to meet the request by the monitoring center for sending periodic error log information (see column 34, lines 9-45, periodically watch the printer error).
- 26. Regarding claim 20, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus transmits to meet the request made, at need, by the monitoring center for sending error log information (see abstract and column 4, lines 36-61).
- 27. Regarding claim 21, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus transmits error log information periodically to the monitoring center (see column 34, lines 9-45, periodically watch the printer error).
- 28. Regarding claim 22, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus transmits error log information to the monitoring center as occasion demands (see column 34, lines 9-45 and column 4, lines 36-61).
- 29. Regarding claim 23, Kageyama disclosed a system wherein the image outputting apparatus transmits to the monitoring center at the timing corresponding to the accumulation data for contents of error log information (see column 40, lines 14-25 and column 34, lines 9-45).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kawanabe (2002/0001100) Image processing system, image data processing method, and storage medium.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,108 Page 9

Art Unit: 2141

Boswell (U.S. Patent No. 5,559,933) Distributed enterprise print controller.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradford F. Fritz whose telephone number is 571-272-3860. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RF

HUPAL DHAHIA
SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER